Multiexponential attenuation of the CPMG spin echoes due to a geometrical confinement
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Abstract

The CPMG multi-echo technique is often used to investigate the translational motion of diffusing nuclei in a confining medium. Henceforth, periodically repeated RF pulses with a diffusion-sensitizing gradient yield a formation of spin echoes of gradually decreasing amplitudes. The parameters of their exponential fits may characterize the structure of porous materials or biological tissue. In this paper, a multiexponential character of the CPMG measurements is rigorously demonstrated, once a geometrical confinement is present. Based on the multiple propagator approach, we derived a spectral representation for the echo amplitudes under external magnetic field of an arbitrary gradient profile. The multiple relaxation times and their spectral weights were found in a general form. The study of simple restrictive media allowed to obtain a quantitative condition under which the multiexponential attenuation is reduced to a monoexponential one.
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1. Introduction

The pulsed gradient spin echo technique is widely applied to study the confinement of diffusing particles in restricted geometry [1]. The use of the time-dependent magnetic field gradient to encode the spin phase distribution for translational motion of nuclei provides a mean to characterize complex structures like porous materials or biological tissues [2–10].

In the multi-echo or Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) technique, a first 90° RF pulse flips the spin magnetization into the transverse plane. Periodic repetition of a 180° RF pulse with diffusion-sensitizing gradients yields progressive signal attenuation due to intrinsic spin–spin relaxation and to translational motion of the nuclei (Fig. 1). In the case of free diffusion under a steady gradient of amplitude g, a monoexponential attenuation has been demonstrated in [11,12]

\[ S(nT) \propto \exp(-nT/T_{\text{cpmg}}) \] (1)

with the characteristic relaxation time \( T_{\text{cpmg}} \)

\[ \frac{1}{T_{\text{cpmg}}} = \frac{1}{T_2} + \frac{D^2g^2T^2}{12} \] (2)

where \( T_2 \) is the intrinsic spin–spin relaxation time, \( D \) is the (free) self-diffusion coefficient, \( T \) is the echo time, and \( \gamma \) is the nuclear gyromagnetic ratio. This behavior has been thoroughly verified in experiments (see [1] and references therein). In this case, a few experimental values \( S(nT) \), two at least, are sufficient to compute the relaxation time \( T_{\text{cpmg}} \) as

\[ \frac{1}{T_{\text{cpmg}}} \approx \frac{1}{T} \ln \left( \frac{S(nT)}{S((n+1)T)} \right) \] (3)

which does not depend on the echo number \( n \). It allows one to find the diffusion coefficient \( D \) or spin–spin relaxation time \( T_2 \) quite accurately [1]. Relatively simple implementation
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of CPMG sequences made them a powerful experimental tool to investigate the diffusive motion in natural or artificial structures, e.g., porous structure of rocks [13], cements [14–17], or alveolar tissues of the human lungs [18].

However, the restrictive character of the motion in such complex geometry may modify not only the diffusion coefficient (as a result of tortuosity effect in porous materials [19–24]), but, more importantly, the monoexponential attenuation of the signal [25]. This point can be illustrated by a simple case. For slow diffusion, only a small fraction of nuclei can reach the boundaries during the short echo time $T$. One could thus expect that the relation (1) still holds, at least for the first echoes. However, with a further increase of the echo number, larger and larger fractions of nuclei encounter the boundaries. For $n$ large enough, the interfacial confinement affects the Brownian motion so strongly that the free diffusion regime and relation (1) become invalid. In particular, one may expect a passage to the fast diffusion limit for very large echo numbers. In this light, several theoretical questions appear: what type of behavior has to be expected, how many characteristic times may be involved, what is the role of a geometrical confinement, where such different situations can be experimentally observed?

The goal of this paper was to provide rigorous responses to these fundamental questions. For this purpose, we first derive a formal spectral representation of the signal based on the multiple propagator approach [27,28]. We demonstrate that once the diffusive motion is geometrically restricted, multiexponential attenuation of the CPMG echo train occurs. The involved characteristic times and their weights are then related to the spectral parameters. From the numerical study of typical restrictive domains (two planes, cylinder, and sphere), we define simple conditions under which the multiexponential behavior is reduced to a monoexponential one.

### 2. Multiple propagator approach

Although the spin echo phenomenology is relatively well understood, the theoretical and numerical NMR study of restricted diffusion in irregular domains still presents a challenging issue. Considerable progress has been achieved by the multiple propagator approach first proposed by Caprihan et al. [27], further developed by Callaghan [28] and equivalently reformulated by Barzykin [29,30] and Sukstanskii and Yablonskiy [31].

Let us consider the diffusive motion of a nucleus between the first 90° RF pulse and the moment $T$ of echo formation, in presence of a linear time-dependent gradient of the magnetic field (an example of trapezoidal gradient shape is shown in Fig. 1A). Throughout this paper, the effect of residual magnetic field inhomogeneities is neglected, i.e., the diffusion-sensitizing gradient only can lead to the nuclei dephasing (perfect shimming of the system, no susceptibility effect, etc.). The application of the 180° RF pulse can be taken into account through an effective gradient profile $g(t)$ for which the magnetization direction is inverted after $T/2$ (Fig. 1B). Since gradients before and after 180° RF pulse are supposed to be identical, the effective gradient profile $g(t)$ is antisymmetric with respect to the time $T/2$:

$$ g(T - t) = -g(t). \quad (4) $$

In particular, the rephasing condition required for echo formation is automatically satisfied,

$$ \int_0^T dt \, g(t) = 0, \quad (5) $$

i.e., the accumulated phase $\phi$ of an immobile nucleus is strictly zero. In other words, the diffusive motion only has to be responsible for nuclei dephasing and the consequent signal attenuation. We do not consider here the intrinsic spin–spin relaxation also leading to the signal attenuation since it can be easily taken into account as in Eq. (2).

According to the multiple propagator approach, the phase accumulated by a diffusing particle during its stochastic motion under magnetic field is equal to

$$ \phi = \gamma \int_0^T dt \, (g(t) \cdot r(t)), $$

where $(g(t) \cdot r(t))$ denotes the scalar product between the effective gradient $g(t)$ and the position $r(t)$ of the diffusing nucleus at time $t$. Dividing the time interval $[0, T]$ into $N$
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**Fig. 1.** (A) Typical CPMG sequence starts with a 90° RF pulse followed by periodic repetition of a 180° RF pulse with diffusion-sensitizing gradients (e.g., of trapezoidal shape). The pulsed gradient profile is supposed to be the same before and after 180° RF pulse. The phase cycling of the 180° RF pulses can be applied to reduce the adverse effects of RF inhomogeneities [26]. (B) Effective gradient profile $g(t)$ accounts for the inversion of the magnetization orientation by the 180° RF pulse as change of the gradient direction.
subintervals of duration \( \tau = T/N \), one uses the trapezoidal approximation of the integral to get

\[
\varphi \simeq \gamma \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2} (g(k\tau + \tau) \cdot r(k\tau + \tau)) + (g(k\tau) \cdot r(k\tau)).
\]

If the time step \( \tau \) is small enough, the factor \( \frac{1}{2} \) in front of the first and the last terms can be neglected in order to write the accumulated phase in a simpler form:

\[
\varphi \simeq \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{N} (g(k\tau) \cdot r(k\tau)).
\]

The contribution \( e^{i\omega} \) of one nucleus to the entire signal has to be averaged over the whole ensemble. The initial position \( r_0 \) of a nucleus in the confining domain \( \Omega \) is distributed according to a given steady-state density \( \rho(r_0) \). The probability distribution of the position \( r_1 = r(\tau) \) after time \( \tau \) is defined by the Green function \( G_t(r_1, r_0) \) of the diffusion operator. This function, also called propagator, is a solution of the diffusion equation in the domain \( \Omega \)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - D\Delta G_t(r, r') = \delta(r - r')\delta(t),
\]

where \( \delta(\cdot) \) is the Dirac delta-function (distribution). If the interface is impermeable for nuclei and does not contain magnetic impurities which may lead to surface relaxation, the Neumann (or reflecting) boundary condition is imposed. We focus our attention on this specific case, bearing in mind that an extension to a more general mixed boundary condition is straightforward (see [30,32] for details).

The Markovian property of the Brownian motion ensures that, once arrived at position \( r_1 \), the distribution of the next position \( r_2 = r(2\tau) \) is again given by the Green function \( G_t(r_1, r_2) \). Repeatedly applying this property, one obtains the signal at time \( T = N\tau \) as

\[
S(T) = \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \rho(r_0) e^{i\varphi(r_0, r(\tau))} G_t(r_0, r_1) G_t(r_1, r_2) \cdots e^{i\varphi(r_N, r(T))}.
\]

In this expression, the Green functions encode stochastic motion during successive time intervals of duration \( \tau \), while exponential factors represent “elementary” variations of the nucleus phase.

To proceed further, a spectral decomposition of the Green function \( G_t(r, r') \) over the eigenfunctions \( u_m(r) \) of the Laplace operator \( \Delta \) in the domain \( \Omega \) is used:

\[
G_t(r, r') = \sum_m u_m(r) u_m^*(r') e^{-\kappa_m t},
\]

\( \kappa_m \) being eigenvalues of the Laplace operator [33]. After substitution of this decomposition for the Green functions in (7), summation over each index \( m \) is thought as a matrix product. In this way, Callaghan derived a convenient matrix representation of the entire signal for an arbitrary gradient profile \( g(t) \) [28]. In our notation, the signal at time \( T \) is equal to scalar product between two vectors, \( U \) and \( HU^* \),

\[
S(T) = (U \cdot HU^*),
\]

where the asterisk denotes complex conjugate. The infinite-dimension vector \( U \) is composed of the Fourier transforms of eigenfunctions \( u_m(r) \)

\[
U_m = V^{-1/2} \int_\Omega dr u_m(r) \exp[i\gamma \varphi(r, g(0))],
\]

while infinite-dimension matrix \( H \) is determined by the effective gradient profile \( g(t) \) as product

\[
H = RA(\gamma g(t)) R \cdots A(\gamma g(n\tau - \tau)) R.
\]

Here two infinite-dimension matrices \( R \) and \( A \) represent the “elementary” stochastic displacement and the “elementary” phase variations, respectively, with

\[
R_{m, m'} = \delta_{m, m'} \exp[-\kappa_m \tau],
\]

\[
A_{m, m'}(q) = \int_\Omega dr u_m^*(r) u_{m'}(r) \exp[i(q \cdot r)].
\]

The multiple propagator approach had been successfully applied to study the restricted diffusion between two parallel planes (one-dimensional restriction), inside an infinite cylinder (2D), and inside a sphere (3D), when the eigenfunctions \( u_m(r) \) are known in an explicit analytical form [31,32]. Although the shape of these domains is quite simple, their use to model a geometrical confinement was fruitful for a better understanding of the diffusive motion in more realistic media.

3. Spectral representation

From a mathematical point of view, the condition (4) implies that the matrix \( H \) is Hermitian, i.e., \( H^* = H \), so its eigenvalues \( \lambda \) and eigenvectors \( V \) can be introduced as:

\[
HV = \lambda V.
\]

The scalar product in (8) can thus be written in the spectral decomposition

\[
S(T) = \sum_x c_x \lambda_x
\]

with positive coefficients

\[
c_x = (U \cdot V_x)^*(U^* \cdot V_x).
\]

The normalization condition \((U \cdot U^*) = 1\) implies

\[
\sum_x c_x = 1,
\]

i.e., the coefficient \( c_x \) provides the relative weight of the eigenmode \( V_x \) to the whole signal.

Although the matrices \( R, A \), and \( H \) are defined to be of infinite dimension, an exponential decrease of the elements \( R_{m, m'} \) with \( m \) or \( m' \) allows one to truncate them to a finite dimension. It means that a small number of eigenvalues
\[ \lambda_2 \text{ and their weights } c_2 \text{ are in general sufficient to accurately describe the signal attenuation, whatever the geometrical complexity of the confining medium.} \]

For a CPMG sequence, the same profile \( g(t) \) is periodically repeated \( n \) times, so that the amplitude of the \( n \)th echo (signal at time \( nT \)) can be also developed in a spectral decomposition:

\[ S(nT) = (U \cdot H^n U^*) = \sum c_n e^{-\tau_{sa}}, \quad (15) \]

Consequently, the spectral properties of the matrix \( H \) determine entirely the amplitudes of the whole echo train. Since this matrix is Hermitian, the eigenvalues \( \lambda_n \) are real. On one hand, all eigenvalues should not exceed 1 to avoid an exponential growth of the echo amplitudes in Eq. (15). On the other hand, the diagonal-dominant structure of the matrix \( H \) would ensure that \( \lambda_n \) are positive, i.e.

\[ 0 \leq \lambda_n \leq 1. \quad (16) \]

These inequalities allow to identify the relation (15) as a multiexponential attenuation of the echo amplitudes

\[ S(nT) = \sum c_n e^{-nT/\tau_{sa}}, \quad (17) \]

with characteristic times

\[ \frac{1}{\tau_{sa}} = \frac{1}{2} \ln \lambda_n^{-1}. \quad (18) \]

The important point is that such a multiexponential behavior is formally unavoidable, once a geometrical confinement is present. At the same time, a reduction to a monoexponential attenuation can be observed under certain conditions which are examined in the next section.

4. CPMG measurements of restricted diffusion

In order to understand how a geometrical confinement leads to the multiexponential behavior, one can apply the above spectral analysis to simple domains for which the eigenfunctions \( u_m(r) \) of the Laplace operator are known explicitly. We focus our attention to the restricted diffusion between two parallel infinite planes separated by distance \( 2a \), where the magnetic field gradient \( g(t) \) is applied in the normal direction to the planes. The problem is equivalent to one-dimensional diffusion on the interval \((0, 2a)\) with reflections at endpoints 0 and 2a. A similar analysis will be then performed for diffusing nuclei confined inside an infinite cylinder (2D restriction) and a sphere (3D restriction).
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2 The rigorous mathematical demonstration of this property remains an open question. For this reason, we propose a physical argument. If some eigenvalues would be negative, the signal amplitudes for odd echoes would be systematically lower than that for even echoes. Such an oscillatory behavior due to parity of the echo number sounds unphysical, and has not been observed in experiment. Finally, we never found negative eigenvalues \( \lambda_2 \) in numerical simulations for simple confining domains.

4.1. One-dimensional restricted diffusion

For this case, the eigenbasis of the Laplace operator is well known [34,35]:

\[ \kappa_n = D(\pi m/2a)^2 \quad u_m(x) = \mu_m (2a)^{-1/2} \cos(\pi mx/2a), \]

where \( \epsilon_0 = 1 \) and \( \epsilon_m = \sqrt{2} \) for \( m > 0 \). The vector \( U \) and matrices \( A \) and \( R \) are calculated explicitly according to their definitions (9)–(12):

\[ U_n = \omega \psi_1(\gamma g(0)), \quad (19) \]

\[ R_{m,n'} = \delta_{m,n'} \exp[-D\tau \pi^2 m^2/(2a)^2], \quad (20) \]

\[ A_{m,n'}(q) = \mu_m \mu_{n'} \left[ \psi_{1-m-n'}(q) + \psi_{1+m+n'}(q) \right]/2, \quad (21) \]

where

\[ \psi_n(q) = \frac{(-1)^n 2aq}{(2aq)^2 - (\pi n)^2} \left[ \sin 2aq + i((-1)^m - \cos 2aq) \right]. \quad (22) \]

The last relation taken from the Callaghan’s paper [28] differs by the phase factor \( e^{i\theta} \) from that of Sukstanskii and Yablonskiy [31]. This distinction does not affect the signal \( S(T) \) thanks to the rephasing condition (5).

Since the matrix \( H \) entirely determines the echo train attenuation, it should contain all relevant physical (i.e., dimensional) quantities of the problem: diffusion coefficient \( D \), distance between planes \( 2a \), maximum gradient amplitude \( g \), gyromagnetic ratio \( \gamma \), and echo time \( T \). However, as a mathematical object, the matrix \( H \) is independent of physical units. It means that the above physical quantities can enter only in dimensionless combinations. For this reason, we introduce two characteristic times: the diffusion time \( t_d = a^2/D \) which determines the average time needed for a nucleus to diffuse on the distance \( a \), and the dephasing time \( t_r = (\gamma/2a)^{-1} \) which defines the time of signal dephasing in the absence of diffusion. In other words, \( t_r \) is the time needed for dephasing of order 1 between two immobile nuclei within the distance \( a \) under gradient \( g \). Looking at Eqs. (19)–(22), it is easy to check that the matrix \( H \) defined by (10) actually depends only on two independent dimensionless parameters \( T/t_d \) and \( T/t_r \). Following [31], we also introduce their ratio

\[ p = \frac{t_d}{t_r} = \frac{D}{\gamma ga^3}, \quad (23) \]

which may be formally ranged from 0 (“absence of diffusion”) to infinity (“absence of gradient”).

To calculate the signal attenuation for given values of two independent dimensionless parameters (e.g., \( p \) and \( T/t_r \)), the matrix \( H \) is computed numerically. For this purpose, the time interval is divided into \( N \) subintervals of duration \( \tau = T/N \). Although the method can be applied for any gradient profile, a steady gradient is used for distinctness, i.e., the effective gradient is
In this case, the general definition (10) of the matrix $H$ is reduced to

$$H = (RA)^{N/2-1}R(RA^*)^{N/2-1}R,$$

where

$$A \equiv A(\gamma g) = A(N^{-1}(T/t_c)/a).$$

According to Eqs. (21) and (22), the elements of the matrix $A(q)$ are determined by the product $qa$. For this case, one gets $qa = N^{-1}(T/t_c)$ so that the matrix $A$ depends only on the dimensionless parameter $T/t_c$ (and numerical constant $N^{-1}$). In a similar way, the elements of the matrix $R$ written as

$$R_{m,n} = \delta_{m,n} \exp \left[ -N^{-1}(T/t_c)p^2m^2/4 \right]$$

show the dependence only on the dimensionless parameter $T/t_c = pT/t_c$.

The numerical analysis was implemented on the basis of Matlab software (the code can be sent under request). We have checked numerically that the time discretization of the gradient profile $N = 200$ and the truncation of the matrices $A$, $R$, and $H$ to dimension $20 \times 20$ are fully enough to compute very accurately the signal $S(T)$ for the whole range of parameters used below.

4.2. Multiexponential behavior

The first numerical result confirms that only a small number of eigenmodes is sufficient to give a complete description of the signal attenuation. The dependence of the most contributing eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and their weights $c_n$ on the normalized echo time $T/t_c$ is shown in Fig. 2 for the case $p = 0.01$ (slow diffusion or high gradient).

The presence of several contributing eigenvalues leads to a multiexponential dependence of the CPMG relaxation time $T_{\text{cpmg}}$ on the echo number $n$. If $\lambda_1$ denotes the largest eigenvalue for which $c_1 \neq 0$, the relation (3) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{T_{\text{cpmg}}(n)} = \frac{1}{T} \ln \lambda_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{T} \ln \left[ \frac{1 + \sum_{a=1, a \neq 1}^{n} c_a \left( \frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_1} \right)^n}{1 + \sum_{a=1, a \neq 1}^{\infty} c_a \left( \frac{\lambda_a}{\lambda_1} \right)^n} \right].$$

(24)

If the condition

$$\lambda_a \ll \lambda_1$$

was satisfied, the second term would be negligibly small for any $n$, and $T_{\text{cpmg}}$ would be simplified to $T/\ln \lambda_1^{-1}$, characteristics of a classical monoexponential attenuation. However, this condition fails, at least for small echo times $T$, and $T_{\text{cpmg}}$ becomes dependent on the echo number as shown in Fig. 3. For example, in the case $T = 10t_c$, the CPMG relaxation time ranges between $20t_c$ for the first echoes and $53t_c$ for echo numbers larger than 30. The use of the classical relation (3) corresponding to a monoexponential fit would give thus different values of $T_{\text{cpmg}}$ within this range depending on the number of echoes used to calculate it. Experimentally, it may be difficult or even impossible to overcome this bias by acquiring a large number of echoes sufficient to neglect the second term in (24) since it may be limited by short spin–spin relaxation time $T_2$ or small signal-to-noise ratio. As a practical consequence, one should pay particular attention to reliability of the CPMG measurements, once a geometrical confinement is relevant.

Yet, for very slow diffusion, when the parameter $p$ is vanishing, the multiexponential behavior, intrinsic for diffusive motion in a confining medium, will not be apparent. In this trivial case, a very large number of echoes have to be acquired to eventually reveal a deviation from free diffusion. Such an almost unrestricted diffusion is out of the scope of the present paper.
4.3. Diffusive diffraction

For intermediate diffusion regime (higher $p$), the eigenvalues decrease more rapidly with echo time $T$, so that the number of contributing eigenmodes diminishes. For the case $p = 0.1$, only three eigenmodes are sufficient to calculate the signal attenuation, while the other eigenvalues are negligible. The dependence of the three most contributing eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and their weights $c_n$ on the normalized time echo $T/t_c$ is shown in Fig. 4. The two largest eigenvalues, $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$, exhibit exponential decrease with almost periodic oscillatory modulations, while the third eigenvalue $\lambda_3$ decreases more rapidly and without oscillations. The intersection points where $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ are almost equidistant and separated by time interval around $10t_c$. At the bottom of Fig. 4, one also finds the oscillatory behavior of the corresponding weights $c_1$ and $c_2$, while the third coefficient $c_3$ is constant for $T \gtrsim 10t_c$. The maxima of $c_1$ coincide with the minima of $c_2$ and vice versa in agreement with the normalization condition (14). These extrema are also separated by time interval around $10t_c$. Note that this period increases with $p$.

Such structural periodicity, very surprising at the first sight, resembles indeed diffusive diffraction for confining media in the limit of very fast diffusion [4]. However, the present situation is substantially different. First, it occurs when the diffusion time $t_d = t_c/p$ is greater (but not very much) than the dephasing time $t_c$ that corresponds to an intermediate regime between slow and fast diffusion limits. Moreover, as one will see in the next subsection, this oscillatory behavior disappears in the fast diffusion regime. Second, there is no “$q$-space” related to the narrow pulse approximation [1] since we are working with a steady gradient. In fact, the observed periodicity concerns the contributing eigenvalues and their weights as a function of the echo time $T$ and not of the gradient amplitude $g$. The oscillatory behavior is then transposed to the signal $S(T)$ via the spectral decomposition (13). This result opens a promising possibility to observe the diffusive diffraction even in such experimental conditions when the narrow pulse approximation is useless.\footnote{The knowledge of these spectral characteristics allows one to compute the spin echo signal $S(T)$ according to (13). Its variation with the echo time $T$ was studied by Sukstanski and Yablonskiy and shown in [31]. Our results precisely reproduce this dependence after rescaling the normalized echo time $T/t_c$, by factor 2. We also checked its validity by the alternative Barzykin’s approach [29,30].}

The most unexpected result is that the intersection points for eigenvalues $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ do not coincide with the positions of extrema of the weights $c_1$ and $c_2$. This may lead to a kind of “resonant” dependence of the time $T_{\text{cpmg}}$ on the echo time $T$. Let $T_{\text{min}}^{(1)}$ denote the position of the first minimum of the weight $c_1$. As shown in Fig. 4, the condition $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ is satisfied for any $T \leq T_{\text{min}}^{(1)}$, so that, for large enough $n$, one will observe a monoeXponential decay with the relaxation time $\tau_1 = T/\ln \lambda_1^{-1}$. More rigorously, one has

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T_{\text{cpmg}}(n) = \tau_1.$$

When the echo time $T$ slowly approaches $T_{\text{max}}^{(1)}$, the weight $c_1$ of the most contributing eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ goes to 0, and one needs larger and larger $n$ to reach the region where $T_{\text{cpmg}}(n)$ becomes constant, $\tau_1$. If $T$ is chosen to be equal to $T_{\text{min}}^{(1)}$, the eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ does not contribute any more ($c_1 = 0$), and the measured time $T_{\text{cpmg}}$ is “switched” to the value $\tau_2 = T/\ln \lambda_2^{-1}$, i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} T_{\text{cpmg}}(n) = \tau_2.$$

Once the point $T_{\text{max}}^{(1)}$ is passed, the contribution of the eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ re-appears, and the limit of $T_{\text{cpmg}}(n)$ is again equal to $\tau_1$. The sensitivity of the CPMG relaxation time to extrema of the weights $c_n$ could be an interesting experimental tool to probe a complex geometry in the diffusive diffraction regime.

On the other hand, such sensitivity of the measured relaxation time $T_{\text{cpmg}}$ to the timing of CPMG sequence (e.g., echo time $T$) may be a source of significant errors in experimental determination of physical characteristics like spin-spin relaxation time or diffusion coefficient. Indeed, if the echo time happened to be close to a minimum limit of $T_{\text{cpmg}}(n)$, the contribution of the eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ re-appears, and the limit of $T_{\text{cpmg}}(n)$ is again equal to $\tau_1$. The sensitivity of the CPMG relaxation time to extrema of the weights $c_n$ could be an interesting experimental tool to probe a complex geometry in the diffusive diffraction regime.

\footnote{It should be noticed that, for the particular case of a steady gradient, the echo time $T$ coincides with the gradient duration and can be thus thought, at least qualitatively, as a “measure” of the effective dephasing of the nuclei. To make this point more clear, we recall that the $q$-parameter is typically defined as $q \equiv g \delta$, $\delta$ being the gradient duration. It means that the “strength” of the dephasing effect can be increased either by gradient amplitude $g$, or by its duration $\delta$. Although such a simplified concept is formally appropriated only for the narrow pulse approximation ($\delta \to 0$), it seems to be meaningful in our case of a steady gradient.}
of the weight \( c_1 \), the signal would be mainly determined by the second eigenmode so that \( T_{\text{cpmg}} \) would be close to \( t_2 \) instead of \( t_1 \). In this case, a small deviation of the echo time may change substantially the measured relaxation time. Since the minima of the weight \( c_1 \) as a function of \( T \) are a priori unknown, an improper choice of the echo time may lead to significant errors. A further theoretical investigation will be useful to give a quantitative criterion how the “proper” echo times should be chosen. In practice, the use of different echo times can be suggested in order to reduce this effect. In summary, the diffusive diffraction regime seems to be interesting to study complex morphologies due to the high sensitivity to different parameters, but it makes difficult a reliable quantitative determination of the physical characteristics like the diffusion coefficient. A monoexponential behavior would be more suitable for this purpose.

4.4. Monoexponential behavior

By further increasing the parameter \( p \), the effects of diffusive diffusion gradually disappear. The time interval between two successive intersection points (when \( \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \)) and two successive extrema of their weights \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) increases and finally diverges as \( p \) approaches the critical value \( p_c \approx 0.44 \). A similar behavior for the FID signal was first found by Sukstanskii and Yablonskiy [31]. For \( p > p_c \), there is neither an intersection point nor an extremum. A typical dependence of the three most contributing eigenvalues and their weights on \( T/t_c \) is shown for \( p = 0.5 \) in Fig. 5. One finds that each eigenvalue decreases exponentially with progressively increasing slopes (and without oscillatory modulations). Their weights become constant for \( T \gtrsim 10 t_c \). Even for small \( T \), the condition (25) is fulfilled so a monoexponential attenuation is expected with:

\[
\frac{1}{T_{\text{cpmg}}} = \frac{1}{T} \ln \lambda_i^{-1}
\]

(the spin–spin relaxation can be taken into account by adding \( T_2^{-1} \) to the right-hand side of this expression).

For large enough \( p \), the fast diffusion approximation [36] can be used to calculate the spin echo signal \( S(T) \) and, consequently, the largest eigenvalue \( \lambda_1 \):\[
\lambda_1 \sim \exp \left[ -\frac{\gamma^2 g^2 (2a)^4 T}{120 D} \right] = \exp \left[ \frac{-2}{15 p} (T/t_c) \right].
\]

Such an exponential decrease of \( \lambda_1 \) as a function of \( T/t_c \) can be seen in Fig. 5, where the slope is found to be 0.347. Yet, this value remains 30% greater than the theoretical slope of \( 2/15 p = 0.267 \). It shows that the fast diffusion approximation is not yet valid for \( p = 0.5 \). In the case \( p = 1 \), a slope of 0.133 is found, in very good agreement with the theoretical expression (26). The fast diffusion approximation becomes more and more precise with further increase of \( p \).

The two last equations lead to the relaxation time for the fast diffusion:

\[
\frac{1}{T_{\text{cpmg}}} \approx \frac{1}{T_2} + \frac{1}{120 D},
\]

which greatly differs from the classical result (2) for free diffusion. Even if monoexponential attenuation is observed in a confining medium for a wide range of echo numbers, the relaxation time \( T_{\text{cpmg}} \) ought to be given by formula (27) for the fast diffusion regime and not by the classical equation (2), which is only valid for unrestricted diffusion. Once the motion of the nuclei is restricted, free diffusion results may remain relevant only for the first echoes.

4.5. Transition between two regimes

The above analysis showed the behavior of the largest eigenvalues and their weights as a function of the echo time \( T \). One may also wonder how these spectral characteristics depend on the gradient amplitude \( g \) for a fixed echo time \( T \). Since the dimensionless parameter \( p \) is inversely proportional to \( g \), one may expect to observe a transition from the regime without oscillations for small \( g \) (large \( p \)) to the oscillatory regime for large \( g \) (small \( p \)). Such a transition should occur at gradient \( g_c = D/(4 \gamma^2 D^3) \). Fig. 6 shows the two largest eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) and their weights \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) for a cubic cell of size \( 2a = 1 \) nm filled of helium-3 (\( \gamma \approx 2 \times 10^8 \) rad T\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), \( D = 3 \) cm\(^2\)/s at pressure 0.67 bar), in a steady gradient of duration \( T = 10 \) ms. In this case, the transition occurs around the gradient amplitude \( g_c \approx 26.6 \) mT/m. For gradient amplitudes smaller than \( g_c \), one retrieves the fast diffusion approximation (26) for which \( \ln \lambda_1 \) is proportional to \( g^2 \). For larger gradient amplitude, \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) as well as \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) oscillate as expected.
4.6. Application to realistic structures

Porous materials (e.g., cement) or biological tissues (e.g., mammal lungs) exhibit a complex geometrical structure with a variety of length scales. Although the multiple propagator approach and the present spectral analysis can be formally applied to study restricted diffusion in such media, the numerical calculation of the eigenfunctions $u_{mn}(r)$ of the Laplace operator is still very difficult. Nonetheless, the initial NMR problem is reduced to an analysis of the spectral properties of the Laplace operator that is a long-standing and well-known problem in applied mathematics. A numerical computation of the contributing eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ and their weights $c_n$ for some realistic structures would help to answer some fundamental questions about restricted diffusion in realistic media. In particular, one could determine how many eigenmodes and relaxation times represent the signal attenuation, as well as what kind of oscillations or periodicity may occur. The dependence of the contributing eigenvalues on the physical parameters could be found.

Although such numerical results are not available yet, we may guess a general condition $p \geq 1$ that ensures a monoexponential attenuation even for irregular geometry, where $2a$ denotes the characteristic length scale of the studied structure. In the case $p \ll 1$, a multiexponential behavior is expected. The intermediate values of the parameter $p$ may be related to a diffusive diffraction which would be tightly related to a particular geometry.

5. Conclusion

An original theoretical aspect of restricted diffusion in confining media was discussed in the paper. To tackle the novel issue in a rigorous way, a spectral analysis was developed on the basis of the multiple propagator approach. A widely used monoexponential fit to the amplitude of periodically repeated spin echoes was called in question.

First, we have shown that a multiexponential attenuation of the CPMG multi-echo train occurs in any geometrically confined medium. A useful spectral representation in terms of eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ for the echo amplitudes was derived. The relaxation times and their weights were related to physical parameters (diffusion coefficient, echo time, gradient profile, and gyromagnetic ratio) and geometrical characteristics (eigenbasis of the Laplace operator).

Second, we have applied the developed spectral analysis to study restricted diffusion in simple domains (two parallel planes, an infinite cylinder, and a sphere). For all these cases, it was shown that there were a small number of eigenvalues $\lambda_n$ contributing to the echo amplitudes. Depending on the ratio $p$ between the diffusion time $t_d$ and the dephasing time $t_c$, three distinct regimes can be observed:

- In the case of fast diffusion or small gradient ($p > p_c$), each eigenvalue $\lambda_n$ decreases exponentially with the echo time $T$, and the corresponding slope increases with $x$. As a consequence, the contribution to the spin echo signal of the largest eigenvalue is dominant that yields a monoexponential attenuation. The classical fast diffusion approximation allows one to relate the measured relaxation time to the physical parameters of the CPMG sequence.
- For the intermediate case ($p < p_c$), there are two major contributing eigenvalues $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ which still decrease exponentially, but also oscillate so to cross each other at nearly constant time intervals. Their weights $c_1$ and $c_2$ oscillate almost periodically which is a sign of diffusive diffraction. This behavior leads to a multiexponential attenuation with two different relaxation times.
- In the case of slow diffusion or high gradient ($p \ll 1$), several eigenmodes contribute to the signal, so that a complex multiexponential attenuation of the spin echo train is to be expected.

The transition between the first two regimes occurs at a critical value $p_c$ which depends only on the geometry of the confining medium. In agreement with Sukstanskii and Yablonskiy [31], we found $p_c \approx 0.44$ for one-dimensional restriction (diffusion between two parallel planes), $p_c \approx 0.27$ for 2D (cylinder), and $p_c \approx 0.18$ for 3D (sphere). For realistic structures, a similar distinction between monoexponential and multiexponential attenuation should be expected. Experimentally, the dimensionless parameter $p$ can be used to check whether the use of a monoexponential fit to the acquired data is justified. A further numerical

![Fig. 6. The two largest eigenvalues $\lambda_1$ (solid line) and $\lambda_2$ (dashed line), top, and their weights $c_1$ and $c_2$, bottom, as a function of $g$ for $D \approx 3 \text{cm}^2/\text{s}$, $2a = 1 \text{mm}$ and $T = 10 \text{ms}$. The fast diffusion approximation (27) for $\lambda_1$ is drawn by dash-dotted line. The dotted line shows the gradient $g_c \approx 26.6 \text{mT/m}$ corresponding to the critical parameter $p_c \approx 0.44$. The third eigenvalue $\lambda_3$ is too small to be represented on this figure.](image-url)
study of the diffusive motion in realistic media is a promising perspective for the present spectral analysis.
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